

ESOPHAGEAL & GASTRIC CANCER

Madappa N. Kundranda. MD. PhD. Director, Gastrointestinal Oncology Program March 14th 2017



DISCLOSURES

• No Relevant Financial Disclosures

 Will Discuss Investigational Techniques/Off Label use



Objectives

- Understand the pathophysiology of esophageal and gastric cancer
- Evaluate common presentations of esophageal and gastric cancer
- Management of esophageal and gastric cancer







Epidemiology

• 1960s:

- Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) >90%

• 2000's:

Adenocarcinoma >60 (US)

• Worldwide: SCC still predominates



Risk Factors

- SCC:
 - Smoking
 - Alcohol
- Adenocarcinomas:
 - Barrett's esophagus with specialized intestinal metaplasia (GERD)
 - Obesity
 - Smoking
 - GERD



Clinical Vignette

 75 yo male with h/o smoking and ETOH use presents with progressive dysphagia and odynophagia.

Next step? EUS EGD Cross sectional imaging Surgery







Clinical Vignette (cont..)

 75 yo male with h/o smoking and ETOH use presents with progressive dysphagia and odynophagia. EGD demonstrates a 4 cm partially obstructing tumor at the GEJ. Bx: moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma

Next step?

EUS

Her2Neu testing

Cross sectional imaging





Clinical Vignette (cont..)

EUS demonstrates a T3 lesion and PET/CT shows uptake in the 2 para esophageal lymphnodes and no evidence of metastatic disease.

Next step?

Her2Neu testing Neoadjuvant chemoradiation Surgery



	•			
Adenocarcinoma	carcinoma			

Stage	т	N	м							
0	Tis (HGD)	NO	M0							
IA	T1	NO	M0							
IB	T1	NO	M0							
	T2	NO	M0							
IIA	T2	NO	M0							
IIB	Т3	NO	M0							
	T1-2	N1	M0	-						
IIIA	T1-2	N2	M0	Squa	mous cell carcinom	a*		Crada	Tumor location 6	
	Т3	N1	мо	Stage		NO	MO			
	T4a	NO	мо	TA		NO	мо	1, ^	Any	
		NO	MO		11		MO	1, ^	Any	
IIIB	Т3	N2	MO	IB		NU	MO	2-3	Any	
IIIC	T4a	N1-2	M0		T2-3	NO	MO	1, X	Lower, X	
	T4b	Anv	мо	IIA	T2-3	NO	M0	1, X	Upper, middle	
	•	,			T2-3	NO	M0	2-3	Lower, X	
	Any	N3	мо	IIB	T2-3	NO	M0	2-3	Upper, middle	
IV	Any	Any	M1		T1-2	N1	M0	Any	Any	
				IIIA	T1-2	N2	M0	Any	Any	
					Т3	N1	м0	Any	Any	
					T4a	NO	м0	Any	Any	
				IIIB	Т3	N2	м0	Any	Any	
				IIIC	T4a	N1-2	м0	Any	Any	
					T4b	Any	м0	Any	Any	
					Any	N3	м0	Any	Any	
				IV	Any	Any	M1	Any	Any	

Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy for Esophageal or Junctional Cancer - CROSS TRIAL



Van Hagen et al; N Engl J Med 2012;366:2074-84

B Survival According to Tumor Type and Treatment Group



www.banne

Management

- <u>Early stage</u>: ESD/EMR (T1a)
- <u>T2N0</u>: Esophagectomy
- <u>Node positive/advanced</u>: Chemo RT Sx
- Metastatic: Palliative chemotherapy
 - Fluropyrimidine plus platinum
 - Her2Neu testing





Epidemiology

- Risk factors
 - H.Pylori
 - Refrigeration
 - Geographic variation

Migration patterns



Histology

- Intestinal gastric cancer is more common in males and older age groups. It is more prevalent in high-risk areas and is likely linked to environmental factors
- Diffuse or infiltrative type, is equally frequent in both sexes, is more common in younger age groups, and has a worse prognosis than the intestinal type Banner MDAnderso Contex Center

Clinical Vignette

- 48 yo male with abdominal discomfort eval by endoscopy noted to have a distal stomach mass; poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. EUS and imaging dem T3N1 disease with no distant metastasis.
- What is NOT a SOC option
 - Radiation and chemo followed by Sx
 - Gastrectomy followed by adj ChemoRT
 - Perioperative chemotherapy
 - Gastrectomy followed by chemotherapy

Overall survival with chemotherapy in advanced OG cancer



Months



¹Murad et al. Cancer 1993; ²Vanhoefer et al. J Clin Oncol 2000; ³Van Cutsem et al. J Clin Oncol 2006; ⁴Dank et al. Ann Oncol 2008; ⁵Cunningham et al. N Engl J Med 2008; ⁶Kang et al. Ann Oncol 2009; ⁷Shah et al JAMA Oncol 2016; ⁸Bang et al. Lancet 2010



www.bannermdanderson.com/sented By Ian Chau at 2017 Gastrointestinal Cancers Symposium

COLORECTAL CANCER



Objectives

- Understand the pathophysiology of CRC
- Evaluate common presentations of suspected CRC
- Management of CRC



The Problem

Figure 3. Leading Sites of New Cancer Cases and Deaths – 2016 Estimates

Estimated Ne	ew Cases	Estimate	Estimated Deaths				
Male	Female	Male	Female				
Prostate	Breast	Lung & bronchus	Lung & bronchus				
180,890 (21%)	246,660 (29%)	85,920 (27%)	72,160 (26%)				
Lung & bronchus	Lung & bronchus	Prostate	Breast				
117,920 (14%)	106,470 (13%)	26,120 (8%)	40,450 (14%)				
Colon & rectum	Colon & rectum	Colon & rectum	Colon & rectum				
70,820 (8%)	63,670 (8%)	26,020 (8%)	23,170 (8%)				
Urinary bladder	Uterine corpus	Pancreas	Pancreas				
58,950 (7%)	60,050 (7%)	21,450 (7%)	20,330 (7%)				
Melanoma of the skin	Thyroid	Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	Ovary				
46,870 (6%)	49,350 (6%)	18,280 (6%)	14,240 (5%)				
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Leukemia	Uterine corpus				
40,170 (5%)	32,410 (4%)	14,130 (4%)	10,470 (4%)				
Kidney & renal pelvis	Melanoma of the skin	Esophagus	Leukemia				
39,650 (5%)	29,510 (3%)	12,720 (4%)	10,270 (4%)				
Oral cavity & pharynx	Leukemia	Urinary bladder	Liver & intrahepatic bile duct				
34,780 (4%)	26,050 (3%)	11,820 (4%)	8,890 (3%)				
Leukemia	Pancreas	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma				
34,090 (4%)	25,400 (3%)	11,520 (4%)	8,630 (3%)				
Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	Kidney & renal pelvis	Brain & other nervous system	Brain & other nervous system				
28,410 (3%)	23,050 (3%)	9,440 (3%)	6,610 (2%)				
All sites	All sites	All sites	All sites				
841,390 (100%)	843,820 (100%)	314,290 (100%)	281,400 (100%)				

Estimates are rounded to the nearest 10, and cases exclude basal cell and squamous cell skin cancers and in situ carcinoma except urinary bladder.

©2016, American Cancer Society, Inc., Surveillance Research

Figure 1. Trends in Age-adjusted Cancer Death Rates* by Site, Males, US, 1930-2012



Note: Due to changes in ICD coding, numerator information has changed over time. Rates for cancers of the liver, lung and bronchus, and colon and rectum are affected by these coding changes.

Source: US Mortality Volumes 1930 to 1959 and US Mortality Data 1960 to 2012, National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ©2016, American Cancer Society, Inc., Surveillance Research



Note: Due to changes in ICD coding, numerator information has changed over time. Rates for cancers of the liver, lung and bronchus, and colon and rectum are affected by these coding changes.

Source: US Mortality Volumes 1930 to 1959, US Mortality Data 1960 to 2012, National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. ©2016, American Cancer Society, Inc., Surveillance Research

The 'Suspected' Cause - Pathogenesis



Potential Risk Reduction Strategies

Less Red



- BMI
- Avoidance of ETOH
- 5-7 servings of fresh fruits and vegetables

NONE OF THESE HAVE SHOWN TO BE Banner **AS EFFECTIVE AS SCREENING** Making Cancer History

Staging

TNM staging for colorectal cancer, 7th edition

	- (T)
Primary tumo	
ТХ	Primary tumor cannot be assessed
то	No evidence of primary tumor
Tis	Carcinoma in situ: intraepithelial or invasion of lamina propria*
Т1	Tumor invades submucosa
Т2	Tumor invades muscularis propria
тз	Tumor invades through the muscularis propria into pericolorectal tissues
T4a	Tumor penetrates to the surface of the visceral peritoneum [¶]
T4b	Tumor directly invades or is adherent to other organs or structures 14
Regional lymp	h node (N)*
NX	Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
NO	No regional lymph node metastasis
N1	Metastasis in 1-3 regional lymph nodes
N1a	Metastasis in one regional lymph node
N1b	Metastasis in 2-3 regional lymph nodes
N1c	Tumor deposit(s) in the subserosa, mesentery, or nonperitonealized pericolic or perirectal tissues without regional nodal metastasis
N2	Metastasis in four or more regional lymph nodes
N2a	Metastasis in 4-6 regional lymph nodes
N2b	Metastasis in seven or more regional lymph nodes
Distant metas	tasis (M)
M0	No distant metastasis
M1	Distant metastasis
M1a	Metastasis confined to one organ or site (eg, liver, lung, ovary, nonregional node)
M1b	Metastases in more than one organ/site or the peritoneum



UpToDate 2016

Clinical Vignette

 45 yo female undergoes a Rt hemicolectomy for a largeT3 poorly differentiated tumor.
 0/35 LN. Here to discuss adjuvant therapy:

Which statement is accurate

- RAS mutation Analysis can guide adjuvant therapy decision
- Stage II MSI high tumors have an excellent prognosis and don't req adjuvant Rx
- Most cases of MSI-H tumors occur as a manifestation of Lynch syndrome

Management

- Stage I: surgery alone
- Stage II:
 - low risk vs. high risk
 - Surgery +/- Adjuvant chemo
- Stage III: Surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy
- Stage IV: Palliative Chemotherapy



Stage II

• High Risk:

- T4 primary
- Inadequately sampled lymph nodes (less than 13 in the surgical specimen)
- Bowel obstruction or perforation
- High-grade/poorly differentiated histology
- Lymphovascular invasion (LVI)
- Perineural invasion (PNI)
- Close, indeterminate, or positive margins
- High preoperative serum carcinoembryone antigen (CEA) level

What about MSI and Stage II

• MSI-H:

- Hypermutability that occurs due to a deficiency in the DNA MMR
- DNA Replication with accumulated errors
- Microsatellites aka repeated sequences of DNA
- MSI can be
 - <u>Sporadic</u>-15%; due to hypermethylation of the MLH1 gene promoter
 - <u>Genetic</u>-Lynch syndrome; germline mutation in MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2

- MSI-H (IHC or PCR) portends to a better prognosis and don't respond to 5-FU based regimens*
- Popat et al[#] in a systematic review of 32 studies of CRC demonstrated that MSI-H pts derived no benefit from adjuvant FUcontaining chemotherapy
- Sargent et al[^] demonstrated that MSI-H pts treated with chemotherapy was associated with a reduced OS

*Ribic CM, NEJM,2003; #Popat S, JCO,2005;^Sargent DJ, JCO 2010



Stage IV

• Palliative intent

- 5FU (or capecitabine) and Oxaliplatin
- 5FU (or capecitabine) and Irinotecan
- YES-BEVACIZUMAB, CETUXIMAB, PANITUMUMAB, ziv-AFLIBERCEPT, RAMUCIRUMAB
- NO Radiation



Adjuvant therapy

• 5FU (or capecitabine) and Oxaliplatin

• NO BEVACIZUMAB, CETUXIMAB, PANITUMUMAB, ziv-AFLIBERCEPT, RAMUCIRUMAB

• NO Radiation unless its rectal cancer



What about CEA?

- Causes for elevated CEA in an individual without cancer
 - Biliary disease
 - Hepatic injury
 - Pulmonary infections
 - Smokers
 - Bowel disease



Newer biomarkers

- Holy grail of onco-monitoring
- Several modalities of testing incl:
 - Quantitatively or structurally-altered proteins
 - Cancer-associated autoantibodies
 - Cell-free nucleic acids (cfNAs)
 - Circulating tumor cells (CTCs)
 - Cancer derived extracellular vesicles (EVs)



IMMUNOTHERAPY IN ONCOLOGY



History of Cancer Immunotherapy: Key Milestones



Immune System Function and Immune Response

Identify and destroy foreign or abnormal cells in the body



Immune surveillance:

- Involves both innate and adaptive immune mechanisms
- Goal of immunotherapy for cancer: to "educate and liberate" underlying anticancer immune responses
 Banner MDAnderson

Making Cancer History

Janeway CA Jr, et al. Immunobiology: the immune system in health and disease. 2001.

Adaptive Immune System: T-• 4 main types of T-

- cells
 - Helper T-cells (CD4+)
 - Cytotoxic T-cells (CD8+)
 - Suppressor T-cells
 (CD4+ Foxp3+ CD25+
 Tregs)
 - Memory T-cells (CD4+ or CD8+ CCR7+ CD45RO)





Slide credit: clinicaloptions.com



Tumor Specific Immune Response





T-Cell Regulation via Multiple Costimulatory and Inhibitory Interactions



- T-cell response to antigen is mediated by peptide-MHCs recognized specifically by TCR (first signal)
- B7 family of membrane-bound ligands binds both activating and inhibitory receptors (second costimulatory signal)
- Targeting CTLA-4 and PD-1 inhibitory receptors has been a major clinical focus



T-Cell Response: Accelerate or Brake?





Response Rates With Anti–PD-1 Antibodies



Immune-Related Adverse Events: Mechanism of Action

- "Achilles heel" of checkpoint inhibitors: autoimmunity via irAEs
- Dysregulation of host immune system leads to unique toxicities of immune checkpoint inhibitors, similar to autoimmune disease

www.bannermdanderson.com/ Dillard T, et al. Pituitary. 2010;13:29-38. Kim KW, et al. Invest New Drugs. 2013;31:1071.

Immune-Related AEs With Immunotherapy

Ipilimumab (Anti–CTLA-4): Suspected irAEs in Pts With Melanoma

irAE, %	All Grades	Grade 3	Grade 4
Dermatologic	43.5	1.5	0
Pruritus	24.4	0	0
Rash	19.1	0.8	0
 Vitiligo 	2.3	0	0
Gastrointestinal	29.0	7.6	0
 Diarrhea 	27.5	4.6	0
Colitis	7.6	5.3	0
Endocrine	7.6	2.3	1.5
 Hypothyroidism 	1.5	0	0
 Hypopituitarism 	2.3	0.8	0.8
 Hypophysitis 	1.5	1.5	0
 Adrenal insufficiency 	1.5	0	0
Hepatic	3.8	0	0
Increase in ALT	1.5	0	0
 Hepatitis 	0.8	0	0

Hodi FS, et al. N Engl J Med. 2010;363:711-723.

Nivolumab (Anti–PD-1): Suspected irAEs in Pts with Melanoma

n = 206 pts with malignant melanoma

Suspected irAE, %	All	Grade	Suspected irAE, %	All	Grade
	Grades	3/4		Grades	3/4
Dermatologic	37.4	1.5	Hepatic	3.4	1.5
Pruritus	17	0.5	ALT increase	1.5	1
Rash	15	0.5	Bilirubin increase	1	0
Vitiligo	10.7	0	Other		
Gastrointestinal	17	1.5	Renal	1.9	0.5
Diarrhea	16	1	Pulmonary	1.5	0
Colitis	1	0.5			
Endocrine	7.3	1			
Hypothyroidism	4.4	0			
Hyperthyroidism	3.4	0			
Diabetes mellitus	0.5	0			
Hypophysitis	0.5	0.5			

Robert C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:320-330.

Time to Onset of Select First Treatment-Related AE With Nivolumab (Any Grade)

 Majority of treatment-related AEs occurred within first 3 mos of treatment

Reckamp K, et al. WCLC 2015. ORAL02.01.

Distribution of Immune-Related AEs With CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 Inhibition

Michot JM, et al. Eur J Cancer. 2016;54:139-148.

Clinical Vignette

- A 72-yr-old male is treated with nivolumab on a clinical trial for metastatic HCC
- After his third cycle of treatment, he develops diarrhea, 3 times/day, treated with loperamide
- Despite conservative management, his diarrhea increases to 8 times/day

In addition to discontinuing nivolumab, which other step would you take?

- A. IV hydration
- B. Methylprednisolone (or equivalent)
- C. Infliximab
- D. Methylprednisolone (or equivalent) and infliximab

Conclusions

- Immunotherapy has emerged as an exciting therapeutic strategy
- We need to enrich the clinical experience of checkpoint inhibitors in treating malignancies
- Assessing the molecular biomarkers that are important in predicting treatment response, resistance, and treatmentrelated AEs
- Combination strategies to improve the efficacy of checkpoint inhibitors under investigation
- Clinicians should be vigilant in monitoring the unique AE profiles of immunotherapy
 - Close monitoring and timely management of irAEs critical

